

EVENINGS WITH THE SKEPTICS

OR

FREE DISCUSSION ON FREE THINKERS

BY

JOHN OWEN

RECTOR OF EAST ANSTEE, DEVON

'Believe it, my good friend, to love Truth for Truth's sake is the principal part of human perfection in this world, and the seed-plot of all other virtues.'—LOCKE

VOL. II.

CHRISTIAN SKEPTICISM.

NEW YORK

J. W. BOUTON, 706 BROADWAY

LONDON

LONGMANS, GREEN & CO.

1881

All rights reserved

2U 38
097

(2)

1002200

CONTENTS

OF

THE SECOND VOLUME.

EVENING VI.

TWOFOLD TRUTH.

	PAGE		PAGE
Mysterious properties of numbers, especially of number two	3	dualism—Irony often employed by Neologian teachers	10
The uncertainty of numbers felt by Sokrates—Definition of Twofold Truth	4	Bishop Thirlwall on irony in the judicial function—Examples of Skeptics who have employed irony	11
An outcome of Free-thought in relation to Christianity—'Book-keeping by double-entry'—Twofold Truth has been held by conscientious thinkers	5	Irony in the teaching of Jesus Christ	12
Recent maintainers of the doctrine—'Mansel's Bampton Lectures'	6	End of discussion. Commencement of Dr. Trevor's paper—Dualism postulated in early stages of man's development—Maxim of Protagoras. Its dual implication	13
Miss Lyeester's illustration of the relation of Faith and Reason—Mr. Arundel's illustration of the relation of Faith and Reason—Does the Scientist escape the dilemma of double truth?	7	The known cannot be the measure of the unknown in speculation—Natural tendency to assimilate all thinking beings to ourselves—Definition of absolute truth	14
Not necessarily. Faraday an example—Esoteric and exoteric teaching	8	Dichotomy between man (the individual) and his fellows—Inevitable isolation of every genuine thinker—Third kind of dualism between man and his dogmatic environment	15
Emphasis on esoteric and exoteric doctrine suggests Twofold Truth—Proclivities of lawyers and judges towards Twofold Truth	9	This the starting point of all Skepticism—This dualism found in Greek philosophy	16
Illustration of lawyers' professional incoherence—Irony, a concomitant of intellectual		Christianity in its source undogmatic—Commencement	

	PAGE		PAGE
of every great mental movement leaves no room for doubt	17	in theology—Popularity of Twofold Truth—Further growth of Twofold Truth until it was absorbed by Protestantism and modern science—Other dualisms connected with Twofold Truth	26
Beginnings of doubt and dualism in Christianity—Relation of Christianity to Judaism—Relation of Christianity to Pagandom—Opposition of dialectic to Church dogma	18	Compulsory recognition of reason by the Church—Attempted equilibrations of faith and reason—Dualisms of the Schoolmen, <i>e.g.</i> , Anselm and Abelard	27
Distrust of logic by the Christian Fathers—Dualism in Gnosticism, opposition of faith and knowledge—Dualistic tendencies of Augustine—Opposition between profane literature and the Bible—Opposition between Scripture and nature	19	Dualistic phenomena in the human consciousness—Antagonistic spheres of intellect and emotion	28
Vagueness in the dogmatic system of early Christianity—Necessary preliminaries to Twofold Truth—Erigena's identity of philosophy and Christianity—Twofold Truth came to maturity in the thirteenth and fourteenth centuries	20	Occasional transition of the latter into the former—Emotional belief in relation to intellectual—Vitality of emotional belief	29
All human knowledge became absorbed by theology—Function of philosophy at first mistaken by the Church—Change in the relation of philosophy to theology	21	Opposition of emotion to intellect not confined to religionists—Exaggerated opposition between emotion and intellect by Schleiermacher	30
Antagonism between faith and reason—Twofold Truth condemned in A.D. 1240 and 1278	22	Ghosts of defunct beliefs assume the appearance of reality—Dualism between the regions of experience and imagination	31
Tenets of Twofold Truth—Advantages of antagonism between faith and reason	23	'Personal Equation' to be considered in dealing with double truth—Ruthless determination to acquire undoubted conviction illustrated by Pascal and Dr. Newman—Example of religious or spiritual ambition	32
Issue of Twofold Truth for Romanist dogmas—Denunciation by the Church of dual truth	24	Twofold Truth a concomitant of intellectual growth—Vacillating intellects in which the alternatives of Twofold Truth are perpetually recurring—Justus Lipsius a type of this vacillation—Legitimate double truth, two-eyed intellection	33
Contribution of Twofold Truth to intellectual progress—1. By asserting a field for human speculation outside theology—2. By imparting breadth to intellectual research—Large claims of philosophy asserted	25	This is one characteristic of Skepticism—Some thinkers are intellectual ruminants	34
Encyclopaedists in philosophy correspond with Summists		They must needs reflect even on their fully accepted beliefs—Guicciardini an example	

	PAGE		PAGE
of this type of thinker—Discarded convictions assume from the fact a more plausible appearance . . .	35	the individual consciousness destroys objective truth . . .	41
Doubt sometimes caused by excess of demonstration—Instances among medical men, detectives, &c.—Hamlet the dramatic type of this character . . .	36	Mr. Harrington's reply that the solidarity of the subject implies that of the object thought—Illustration of his position—Mr. Arundel claims power of apprehending absolute truth . . .	42
The Skeptic in action will always be a rare example of the class—Story of Demokritos and the figs—Reasons why excessive demonstration may become a ground for Skepticism . . .	37	Dr. Trevor's rejoinder; himself and Mr. Harrington are defending the relativity of knowledge—Origin of the conception of absolute knowledge—Pomponazzi's biform belief probably sincere—Miss Leycester's interpretation of Pomponazzi's standpoint . . .	43
Twofold Truth signifies more than the ordinary antithesis between faith and reason—Such a dichotomy inevitable under any circumstances—The dogma of ecclesiasticism has unnecessarily embittered the relations between faith and reason—General tendency of Twofold Truth to intellectual hesitation . . .	38	Recognition of antagonism between reason and Christianity—Mr. Arundel compares Dr. Trevor's paper to Newman's 'Grammar of Assent'—Both Dr. Trevor and Mr. Arundel agree that emotional convictions are unsatisfactory . . .	44
Point at which the discrepancy becomes irreconcilable:—When it destroys the unity of consciousness—Twofold Truth destructive to subjective cognition—Ultimate veracity of consciousness to be asserted—Unlimited power of unscrupulous dialectic . . .	39	Miss Leycester dissents, pleads for intuitive and instinctive truths—Knowing and feeling should be kept apart—Reason oftentimes a wooden leg—Controversy on legitimacy of emotional beliefs . . .	45
Veracity of consciousness allowed even by extreme Skeptics—Assent of Pomponazzi to the doctrine of Immortality imperfect—Emotional assent not altogether satisfactory . . .	40	Distinction between intuition and emotion—Influence of emotional religion—Effect of over much stress on emotional side of religion . . .	46
Dualism of Christianity versus Modern Science—For the extreme antagonism of faith and reason dogma is primarily responsible—End of essay on Twofold Truth, beginning of discussion—Mr. Arundel complains that the limitation of truth to		Protestant doctrine of Justification by Faith and its results—Effect of the individualism generated by it—'Instability of the Homogeneous' in intellectual formations . . .	47
		Double truth doubly dogmatic—Oscillating intellects illustrated—Mill's opinion of philosophical dualism . . .	48
		Reason why he did not extend it to ethical—Mr. Mansel's ethical dualism and its im-	

	PAGE		PAGE
Messianic beliefs of the Jews—		lation to the Sadducees	
Summary of their chief characteristics	82	partly polemical—General antagonism of Christ to Mosaic dogma—The newer principles to which He appealed	90
Their influence and vitality—		I. The human conscience—	
Their especial power in the time of Christ—The place of Messianic ideas in the teaching of Christ—His modification and spiritualization of them	83	Christ regarded the Jew as related to humanity—He sets the moral conscience against Jewish dogma—Christ disclaimed authority based on miracles	91
Christ's reluctance to assume openly the Messianic character—He tries to turn the old hopes into new channels, &c.—His own scheme of the Messianic kingdom—His indifference to His descent	84	In his stress on conscience, Christ like Sokrates and Descartes—Relation of individualism to liberty	92
He does not claim homage or honour as the Messiah—His un-Jewish commendations of aliens, as, <i>e.g.</i> the Samaritans—Misconception of His Messianic position by his own followers	85	Religion more liable than other things to dogma—By freeing the conscience Christ virtually freed the intellect—II. Christ appealed to nature—Affirmed the continuity of natural laws—What we might have expected in Christ's appeal to nature	93
This probably shared by His hearers—Christ suffered as a martyr to Free-thought—His dogmatic position exemplified by His behaviour to the two great Jewish sects—Pharisees and Sadducees are the Dogmatists and Skeptics of the Jews—Christ's antagonism to the Pharisees and their teaching	86	Christ's stress on truth makes all truth-search Christian—III. Christ's personal claim as the teacher of truth—Meaning and extent of His claim to promulgate truth—Christ did not claim for His teaching immunity from criticism	94
Chief tenets and prepossessions of the Pharisees—Their extreme religionism and defective morality—Resemblance of their ethical refinements to Jesuit casuistry	87	Christ's position as the revealer of the will of His Father—But this also is left to the decision of His hearers—Further proof of the undogmatic character of His teaching	95
Their stress upon tradition, and their proselyting zeal—Their combined bigotry and despotism	88	Christ's Messianic kingdom intended to be spiritual—Christ's stress on ethical conduct to be taken as indicating his opinion of speculative dogmas—Ethical excellence in the history of Christianity is always in inverse ratio to dogmatic insistency—Christ made human duty superior to religious rites	96
Their exasperation at Christ's free words and life—Description of the Sadducees—Their Free-thinking relation to Judaism—The Sadducees not unlike the Epikoureans	89		
Their stress on the written law of Moses—Christ's re-			

	PAGE		PAGE
Indifference of Christ towards varieties of speculative belief—Christ contrasted with St. Paul in their treatment of non-belief—Teaching of Christ sanctions Free-thought	97	Various parties in the early Church—Free aspects of St. Paul's teaching	104-5
Subversive aspects of Christ's teaching to the Jews—Christ's Church the promulgation of a certain spirit—Wrong to suppose that Christ's teaching was intended to be rudimentary	98	St. Paul's Epistles give earliest example of dogmatic systematizing—St. Paul's scheme of Christian dogma	105
Christ's own anticipations as to the future of His kingdom—The actual evolution of Christianity different from His expectations—The many crimes, &c., of ecclesiastical Christianity	99	Not found in Christ's own utterances—Christianity of St. John's Gospel and Epistles—Speculative dogma unknown to early Christians	106
No formularies or confessions can override the words of Christ—The words of Christ regarded as the basis of His religion—Such a basis leaves ample room for research into other departments of knowledge—Most Free-thinkers have acknowledged this basis of Christ's Christianity	100	This undogmatic Christianity extends to the first two centuries—Dr. Donaldson quoted on the subject	107-8
Mr. Harrington determines to add by this primary Christianity—Anecdote of Cardinal Perron—Sources of Christianity compared with those of a river	101	Dogmatic tendencies of early Christian heretics—Dissent or Nonconformity does not necessarily imply a fuller appreciation of freedom	108
In their contemplation one forgets the atrocities of Ecclesiasticism—Thinkers who have fled from dogmatic Christianity to Christ—Future Christianity must take fuller cognizance of its starting point	102	Early heresies wrongly dealt with by the Church—Relation of Gnosticism, &c., to early Christianity—Injurious effects of these heresies on Christianity	109
Conception of Christ as Redeemer, &c.—Variety of modes in which it has been received—Meaning of Christ's invitation, 'Come unto me, ye that travail,' &c.—After-developments of Christianity briefly examined	103, &c.	Early policy of Christianity—Liberty of single Churches—Relation of Christianity to Judaism—Relation of Christianity to Heathendom	110
		Points of contact between Christianity and Gentile thought	111
		The conciliatory relation of Christianity to Pagandom a transient phenomenon—Growing symptoms of sacerdotalism—General aim of the apologists of the second century	112
		Tertullian's invective against philosophy—Tertullian occupies a standpoint of neuroscience against the Gnostics	113
		Twofold point of contact between Greek Skepticism and Christianity—Result of Skepticism in making men accept Christianity—The author of the Clementine Homilies and his doubt	114

	PAGE		PAGE
Specific causes of the dogma development that set in during the second century of the Christian era . . .	115	But in Christ the Holy Ghost induced a recognition of ethical conduct as superior to ritual and dogma—But this is quite opposed to the teaching of the Church—Mr. Arundel after some hesitation agrees	122
Mode in which these causes curtailed liberty of thought—Each of these causes attended by counteracting agencies—The definition of the canon of Scripture was followed by a recognition of allegorical interpretation	116	Romanism utterly opposed to the teaching of Christ—Mr. Arundel pleads for indwelling of the Holy Ghost in individual Christians—This Mr. Harrington allows, but contends that it cuts the ground from under the supposed inspiration of ecclesiastical dogma . . .	123
Decrees of councils partly nullified by their mutual antagonism—Effect of the junction of Christianity with the Roman Empire . . .	117	Mr. Arundel fears the outcome of the argument would be individualism—Mr. Harrington denies this would necessarily follow—The ideas and instincts of the ruled often better than those of their rulers—Passing of the Corn-Law Acts compared with the decisions of a general council . . .	124
With the decrees of the first general council Christian freedom was lost until the Reformation—Summary of the argument—Mr. Harrington advocates a return of Christianity to its beginning . . .	118	Mr. Arundel objects the French Revolution—Mr. Harrington rejoins that it was indirectly caused by the Church—Mr. Harrington doubts the necessary inspiration of Church dogma—He regards the Sacraments as expedient, as aids to morality	125
Close of paper—Beginning of after discussion—Jesus Christ's teaching 'colourless'—Mr. Arundel complains that Mr. Harrington's idea of Christianity is defective in elements of solidarity—The choosing of the Apostles implied ecclesiastical organization . . .	119	Dr. Trevor suggests that it is not only the moral test of the Divine guidance of the Church that is wanting, but the intellectual test—Explains his position—Belief of the early Fathers, &c., in witchcraft—Mr. Arundel replies by adducing Christ's dealing with demoniacal possession . . .	126
Mr. Harrington denies this—Mr. Arundel contends that Christ's primary teachings were not enough to consolidate a Church—Dr. Trevor's reply, the more minute the dogma of the Church the greater the diversity of opinions, &c., it has engendered . . .	120	Mr. Harrington's reply . . .	126-7
He quotes Sir G. O. Lewis on the same point—Mr. Arundel contends that Mr. Harrington left out of his consideration the indwelling of the Holy Ghost in the Church—Mr. Harrington pleads that the Holy Ghost ought to operate in the Church in the same manner as in Christ	121	Mr. Arundel complains of laxity in treating the Gospels—Mr. Harrington's defence, adduces Christ's treatment of the Old Testament . . .	127

PAGE	PAGE
Augustine admits the intellectual test of the indwelling of the Holy Ghost—How Mr. Harrington's argument agrees with the definition of Christianity as a revelation.	128
He defends Tindal's theory that 'Christianity is as old as the Creation'—Old truths received a new form and enhancement by the teaching of Christ	129
Mr. Arundel likens Mr. Harrington's Christianity to that of the moral divines of the last century—Mr. Harrington's defence, wishes to investigate how far Skeptics have diverged from original Christianity—Mr. Arundel accuses him of having professionally played the advocate	130
Mr. Arundel reiterates his fear of individualism—Dr. Trevor's defence of legitimate individualism	131
Besetting tendency of mankind towards 'Panarchy'—Mr. Harrington's illustration of the scope and limits of individualism	132
Mr. Harrington on the relations of Church and State	133
Dr. Trevor on the relations of Church and State—Mr. Arundel's reply. Close of discussion	134

EVENING VIII.

THE SKEPTICISM OF ST. AUGUSTINE.

Mrs. Harrington thinks Augustine among the Skeptics a misplacement	137	scandalizing questions that are difficult in a concrete form—Mr. Harrington thinks that the History of the Church was very largely a retrogressive movement	142
Dr. Trevor gives four reasons for the classification—Mr. Arundel adds two more—the-sided conception of Augustine by ecclesiastical writers	138	He adduces the opinion of a legal friend on the subject—Mr. Arundel's satirical comment on the opinion	143
Augustine's frailties disclosed in his 'Confessions'—Mr. Arundel proclaims his dislike of such effusive disclosures	139	Dr. Trevor pronounces it paradoxical—Whether new developments, out-growths of knowledge, are contained (in germ) in the old, e.g. astrology in astrology	144
Mr. Harrington explains the standpoint of the 'Confessions'—Augustine an illustration of the indurating tendency of dogma—Significance of his 'Retractions'	140	Mr. Harrington gives an instance of the 'preservative blessings of dogma'	145
Miss Leycester's view of the stream of Christian doctrine—Hypothesis of the Christian Church becoming Aryan—What Christ would have said as to distinctions between Arians and Orthodox	141	Mr. Arundel explains his view of the use of dogma growth	146
Mr. Arundel explains and justifies the position of the opponents to Arianism—Transcendentalizing questions		Miss Leycester's opinion of the utility of dogmas for weak minds—Cannot understand how strong minds suffer themselves to be so bound—Motive-influences in every mental movement of a diversified character—Dr. Trevor	

	PAGE		PAGE
vor summarises those that operated in Augustine's dogmatism	147	searcher after truth—In this capacity he tries Manichæanism—Character of this philosophico-religious creed	156
Human intellects are not always spiritual filters—Miss Leycester thinks that healthy intellection should be unconscious—Twofold effects of human fallibility and its consciousness	148	Its peculiar attractions for Augustine—Stress upon Truth, Reason and Wisdom	157
Why strong minds are sometimes passive recipients of dogma—Anecdote of cynical clergyman	149	Its dualistic and other tendencies—Manichæanism a preparatory course for the Academic philosopher	158
Augustine's discrimination between the heresiarch and the believer in heresies—Analogy of leaders or followers in political rebellion	150	Augustine a devoted Manichæan for nine years—His employment during this time—He never became one of the Manichæan 'elect'	159
Theories of every kind should be allowed room for discussion—Close of sixth discussion—Beginning of essay—Importance of Augustine as illustrating Christianity of the fourth century	151	Augustine's disappointment as to the promises of Manichæanism—Reasons of his severance from Manichæanism—General advance of knowledge—Acquaintance with Plato's works	160
Diversiform character of his surroundings—Contradictory elements in his own character and career—Difference between great men and those of ordinary stature—Many-sidedness of his life and teaching	152	Socratic influences helped to overthrow his Manichæanism—Effects of Platonic idealism on his imaginative growth	161
Encyclopædic character of his works—Commencement of his life—Exuberant candour of the 'Confessions'	153	Augustine's subsequent denunciation of Manichæanism—Educational advantages Augustine had derived from it—Augustine's intercourse with Bishop Faustus	162
Waywardness of his youth. Is designed for a Rhetor—Removes to Carthage at the age of seventeen—His profligate conduct there	154	Appeals to him for a solution of his difficulties—Unsatisfactory result of the appeal—Confessed ignorance of Faustus harmonised with his own growing inclinations towards Skepticism	163
Is impressed by reading the 'Hortensius' of Cicero—This the starting point in his free speculation—Is repelled by the unadorned style of Holy Scripture—Investigates different branches of Gentile wisdom	155	Augustine leaves Carthage and proceeds to Rome—His attitude to Christianity at this time—Recounts the motives that impelled him towards Academic Skepticism	164
At this time a believer in astrology and divination—His mother's anxiety on his behalf—Augustine becomes a		Augustine's Skepticism, truth no longer esteemed a personal possession—Augustine's doubt never unlimited—Ultimate doubt impossible to his eager sanguine disposition	165
		Significance of this disposition on his final dogmatism—Re-	

	PAGE
miniscences of Augustine's Skepticism in his books against the Academics—Account of these books . . .	166, &c.
Inquiries as to the nature of Truth-search—Doubtful how far it must necessarily succeed . . .	167
Definition of wisdom propounded—Truth-search the destiny of man in this world, Truth-positive in the next—The probable regarded as the rule of life . . .	168
Protean nature of truth conceded by Augustine—Two points of Skeptical misbelief contradicted by Augustine . . .	169
Augustine announces his belief in authority—Enthusiastic panegyric of Plato—Characteristics of the books 'Contra Academicos'—Augustine does not think his anti-Skeptical arguments unanswerable . . .	170
Quotation of his concluding remarks . . .	170-1
He decides on accepting Christ as a religious authority, and Plato as a philosophical authority . . .	171
Augustine removes from Rome to Milan—Description of the Church in Milan at this time—Character and influence of Ambrose . . .	172
Intercourse of Augustine and Ambrose—Scriptural difficulties put to flight by allegory—Augustine's study of the Neo-Platonists—His continued refusal openly to embrace Christianity . . .	173
Proclaims suspense to be better than precipitation—Augustine becomes a catechumen—Augustine's uncertain prospects. His attempt to improve them by marriage . . .	174
Augustine's cruelty to his mistress, the mother of his son Adadatus—His continued profligacy . . .	175
He recommences his Biblical	

	PAGE
studies—At last determines to receive Christian baptism—Augustine's superstition; his reception of Christianity in part the result of a sortilegium . . .	176
Augustine unwilling to be ruled except by external force—Augustine was baptised A.D. 387—This date may be accepted as the closing period of his Skepticism—Still traces of the influence perceptible in his after career . . .	177
I. Consideration of Augustine's dogmatic period . . .	178, &c.
His reception of Christianity, by what motives determined—His speculations on truth . . .	178
Skepticism and its doubt impel him to Revelation as a superhuman authority—Progress and prosperity of Christianity appeared the undeniable demonstration of such authority . . .	179
This was his answer to all heretics and gainsayers—Weakness of Augustine's argument . . .	180
Two Tests of such supreme authority—1. Miracles; 2. The number of disciples—Did not regard truth as inherent in the Christian creed—His profession that he would not have believed the Gospel unless the authority of the Church had compelled him—Pernicious character of this standpoint . . .	181
The principle important as being the unsound basis on which the whole superstructure of ecclesiastical dogma is founded—Augustine's basis of belief not different from Twofold Truth . . .	182
Other applications of this compulsory deference to Christian dogma—Augustine's work 'De Civitate Dei'—An embodiment of Augustine's imperial conception of the Christian Church . . .	183
Incidental excellences of the	

	PAGE		PAGE
work—Its false representations of God, &c.—Relation of the Christianity of the 'De Civitate' to that of the Sermon on the Mount . . .	184	defects—I. Augustine's doctrine of God . . .	191, &c.
Doubtful how far Augustine surrendered his reason unconditionally to Ecclesiasticism—For the time Reason is 'scotched' rather than killed . . .	185	Many-sidedness of his conception of God—God represents to him eternal absolute truth—Invocation of God, quoted from the 'Soliloquies' . . .	192
Augustine's adoption of authority in reality based on Skepticism—Uninquiring dogma closely akin to extreme Skepticism . . .	186	True reason must be essentially divine—Distinction of his conception of God from Pantheism . . .	193
His belief that men are better moved by authority than by reason—His mistaken opinion that Christ did not appeal to reason—Augustine's opinion that false authority better than none . . .	187	Antithetical definition of God—Many deities in the Augustinian Pantheon . . .	194
II. Augustine's conversion partly a reaction from other modes of thought—His wayward and impulsive character—If he had not embraced Christianity he would have become a dogmatist of some other type—Augustine's resemblance to Pascal . . .	188	Advocates nescience in speculative theology—Doctrine of God's relation to man—Its injustice—God regarded as an irresponsible tyrant . . .	195
III. Points of likeness between Skepticism and Christianity—1. Faith admittedly based upon imperfect cognition—Distinction between faith and Skeptical probability—Tendency of faith to become demonstrable—2. Coincidence of Skepticism and the doctrine of human depravity . . .	189	Exaggeration of human depravity—This amounts to a kind of practical Skepticism—Relation of this to the theoretical Skepticism of the Greeks . . .	196
This had already been acknowledged by Christian teachers—Augustine's acceptance of Skepticism probably intensified his subsequent belief in original sin—IV. Personal motives not improbably concerned in his conversion . . .	190	Causes of this Skepticism—Ecclesiasticism of Augustine—Origin of Christianity unheeded . . .	197
Allurements furnished by the success of Ambrose, &c.—A brief account necessary of Augustine's dogmatic system—Its excellences and		Undue stress on portions of St. Paul's Epistles—Augustine's acceptance of Revelation caused a transfer of his dialectics from the reason to the imagination . . .	198
		Pays little attention to the outcomes of his thought—Meaning of Ste. Beuve's remark that 'Augustine perfected the method of reasoning by imagination' . . .	199
		Augustine and his disciples deceived by the discursive form of his speculation—Disastrous consequences of Augustinianism on Christianity . . .	200
		Indirect benefit of his teaching in exemplifying the excesses of which religious dogma is capable—Augustinianism adapted for persons of peculiar dispositions—Dr. Trevor thinks the worst systems of belief may be fitted for some	

	PAGE		PAGE
anomalously organised recipients	201	thought—The Nemesis of Augustinianism	210
Effect of his dogma on Augustine's own character. Its deterioration — Augustine the Academic nearer to Christ than the Bishop of Hippo—Chronic dogma-induration and its results	202	His depreciation of reason to magnify authority an incentive to succeeding Skeptics—Augustine and Pascal	211
Difference between the Bishop of Hippo and the worst persecutors only one of degree—Progress of the induration traceable in his works—His change of view on the subject of Gentile teaching	203	Augustine's influence on Christian mysticism—Relation of his mysticism to his Skepticism—His mysticism of a harsh type akin to that of St. Bernard—The meaning of Augustine's treatise 'De Vita Beata'	212
His virulence against the Manichæans — His vehement polemic against Pelagians, Arians, Donatists, &c.—Approves of the suppression of heresy by the secular arm	204	His own mystic identity with Deity—Effect of his mystic individualism on the Reformation — Augustine's fame fallen into discredit	213
Corresponding development of his ritualism and asceticism — Augustine contributed largely to increase ecclesiastical dogma	205	Antagonism of the best thought of the present day to the processes and conclusions of Augustinianism	214
Apparently contemplated the imperialist conception of Christianity of Pope Gregory VII.—Augustine and Descartes	206	End of paper. Beginning of after discussion—Mr. Arundel accuses Dr. Trevor of inconsistency — Dr. Trevor distinguishes between Greek Skeptics and Augustine	215
Significance of his 'Soliloquies'—His appeal to consciousness finally became inoperative—His theological Skepticism more influential than his Academic	207	Disastrous effects of Calvin's moral Skepticism — Mr. Arundel suggests the great number of men who are so constituted as to reverence tyrannical power — Disappearance of doctrine of human liberty, except as a categorical imperative	216
Distinction between Pyrrhôn and Augustine—The Skepticism of the latter more mischievous—Ethical Atheism preferable to immoral theology — Diversified nature of Augustine's influence — The truest verdict on it would be suspension	208	Gradual disappearance of Calvinism, &c.—Limitation of Divine freedom by giving place to human instincts—Moral attributes of Deity to be affirmed in preference to the magisterial—Miss Leyster's anecdote of the Scotch Calvinist	217
Indebtedness of ecclesiastical theology to Augustine—his intimate relation to Scholasticism—Augustine like Calvin has 'lucid intervals'	209	Reason why human instincts conflict with dogmas—Objection of the finite judging ethically the infinite, and its solution	218
Pernicious influence of his teachings on liberty of		Augustine's ethics unsatisfactory—Mischievous effects of	

	PAGE		PAGE
the purely theological conception of sin—Mr. Arundel finds fault with Dr. Trevor's conception of Augustine's 'City of God'; compares it with 'Paradise Lost'—Dr. Trevor allows that it may be a sketch of an ecclesiastical Utopia	219	tion not confined to theologians	222
Mr. Harrington's opinion on dogmatic development of Christianity—Quotation from Chateaubriand's 'Génie du Christianisme'—The regions of sentiment and conviction not necessarily conjoined	220	In what respect old age confers wisdom—'The great man never loses his child's heart'—Mr. Arundel deprecates Dr. Trevor's suspense as to the merits of Augustine—Miss Leicester's rejoinder. Deeds of more importance than systems.	223-4
Relation of architecture to modes of worship—Mrs. Harrington on simplicity of worship	221	Mr. Harrington on the primary criterion of Christianity—He agrees there are persons devoid of spontaneous ethical perception—The Crutchites and their relation to possessors of sound limbs	224
Augustine's development illustrated by building a prison on the lines, plans, &c., of a cathedral—Dogma-indura-		The Crutchites are inclined to vaunt the superiority of their artificial aids—Miss Leicester's apologue on the Crutchites	225-6
		End of discussion	226

EVENING IX.

SEMI-SKEPTICISM OF THE SCHOOLMEN: ERIGENA—ABELARD—AQUINAS.

Dr. Trevor introduces his subject in an apologue	229	between Aquinas's position and double-truth	233
Three birds shut up in a cage. Contrast of their wild nature with their imprisoned condition—The first a crooning bird. The second strove to break the bars of the cage. The third an equipoising bird	230	Mr. Harrington illustrates the point by a quotation from the 'Elia'	234-5
Miss Leicester's attempted solution of the riddle	231	Use of the equipoising method in mediæval times	235
Dr. Trevor's own solution—Mr. Arundel wishes to get from the abstract to the concrete—Dr. Trevor explains that Erigena is the Mystic, Abelard the Logician, and Aquinas the balancing Skeptic—Mr. Arundel questions the position assigned to Aquinas—Meaning of 'obs and sols'	232	The Renaissance partly the result of the suppression of the individual by theology—All undue repression must needs avenge itself—Anecdote of Racine—Instances of strong individuality within the Church	236
Dr. Trevor explains his conception of Aquinas—Distinction		But this in direct opposition to her spirit and volition—Plea of Romanists that the Church was in the Middle Ages the protector of learning—Dr. Trevor's answer to the plea	237
		Romanist invectives against philosophy, &c.	237-8
		The same arguments employed	

	PAGE
by Methodists—Mr. Harrington's explanation of the standpoint—Doubtful how far knowledge and virtue are identical	238
Dr. Trevor discriminates between genuine and spurious nescience — Obscurantism confined to no sect—Obscurantist pleas innocuous in the present day	239
Dr. Trevor's invective against sacerdotalism—The primary instincts of all vigorous intellects is towards liberty—End of first discussion	240

JOHN SCOTUS ERIGENA.

Character of the so-called 'Dark Ages'—Dogmatic development in the Church	241
Mingled astuteness and unscrupulousness of the Church—Practical effects of the maxim that orthodoxy was superior to right conduct—Growth of Church dogma <i>pari passu</i> with the corruption of the Roman Empire	242
Effect of the irruption of the barbarians. These caught the dogmatic spirit of the Christians—Enlightenment of Ireland in the sixth century—Erigena's arrival at the Court of Charles the Bald	243
Erigena's history	243-4
His principles and method—His axiom true philosophy and true theology are identical—Consequences of the principle—Assimilation of Christianity with heathen wisdom—Reason prior (in nature) to authority	244
Anomaly of Erigena's appearance in the ninth century—Erigena's predilection for Greek philosophy	245
Transference of dialectic from the Schools to the Church—Erigena's high conception of ratiocination — Dialectic,	

the reason of God, the Divine <i>Logos</i> —Erigena shares the instincts and methods of the Schoolmen	246
He concedes the possible misuses of dialectic—Erigena's occasional nominalism—His fourfold division of nature	247
Erigena's doctrine of God:—More negative than affirmative—Metaphorical character of the Divine attributes	248
God is defined as pure being—Distinction between Erigena's rationalism and ecclesiastical dogma—God is All-Being—All things immanent in Him	249
Direction of Erigena's theology towards Pantheism—Erigena's 'Absolute' not that of Spinoza but of Schelling—Erigena's metaphysical conception of Christ—Renders the position of historical Christianity doubtful	250
Functions assigned by Erigena to the Holy Ghost—His definition of the procession of the Holy Ghost—Analogies of the Trinity in human consciousness and in nature—Difference in the persons purely nominal and relative—The persons are 'multiple in virtue, not in number'	251
Erigena's doctrine of the Physical Universe	251-2
In the creation God realises Himself—Erigena recognises the order of the universe and the multiplicity of its operations—The laws of the universe are irreversible—God cannot be moved and therefore cannot love, &c.—God is always creating, i.e. realising His own thought	252
Inscrutability of all physical phenomena—Erigena's occasional dualism—Position of man in Erigena's physical universe—Man is the microcosm who reflects the macro-	

	PAGE		PAGE
cosm of the universe—Eri- gena's excessive realism . . .	253	thinker—Abelard represents the action of dialectic on Church dogma—Differences between Abelard and Erigena —Hostility of the Church to dialectic—Explanation of the Platonic affinities of the Early Fathers . . .	262
His curious speculations as to the fall of man, &c.—His opinions as to man's power of acquiring knowledge . . .	254	Antagonism of the Early Church to Aristotle—Appli- cation of dialectic to theolo- gy by Boethius, &c.—Revi- val of dialectic in the schools of Charlemagne—Alcuin's high opinion of dialectic . . .	263
Rationalistic treatment of the Fathers—Allegorical treat- ment of Scripture—Is in- clined to make Nature equal with Scripture as a source of truth . . .	255	Relations of Aristotelianism to the Church . . .	264
Erigena's speculations on the consummation of all things 255-6		Sketch of Abelard's life . . .	264, &c.
Striking contrast between his aspirations and surroundings —Summary of Erigena—Ge- neral uniformity of his ideas —His antagonisms to the do- minant Christianity of his time . . .	256	Roscellin, his master—Betook himself to the schools of Paris, A.D. 1102—His inter- course with William of Champeaux—The scholar of the great realist soon be- came his critic—Early ma- turity of his thought-system —Its chief features—Inde- pendence of his intellectual standpoint . . .	265
Affinity between his specula- tions and religious thought of our time—Erigena a strik- ing example of metaphy- sical latitudinarianism—A realist before realism had become dogmatic and aggres- sive . . .	257	Realism, nominalism and con- ceptualism found in his mental conformation—His definition of universals— Account of his position by a disciple . . .	266
Breadth and comprehensiveness of his Christianity— Effect of his rationalism ap- plied to theology—Benefi- cial influence of realism on the growing rationalism of the Church . . .	258	Nature of Abelard's eclectic- ism—Necessary individualism of a conceptualist—Abelard a Rationalist—Realistic ab- stractions must be verified . . .	267
Erigena's speculation negative —His idealism based on Skepticism . . .	259	Attempts to define the Trinity on a basis of reason—Abe- lard calls dialectic the queen or mistress of all things— His stress on the term <i>Logos</i> in its theological and philo- sophical meaning—Abelard accounts all knowledge good and sacred—but allows that Reason is not infallible . . .	268
His method destructive of ec- clesiastical dogma—The hos- tility of Rome towards Eri- gena—Erigena the crooning bird. End of Erigena essay	260	He shares the Stoical and Aca- demic conception of Reason —Other illustrations of his Skepticism—Abelard's thought clearer in applica-	
ABELARD.			
The romance of Abelard's life has overshadowed its intel- lectual interest—Dr. Trevor would correct the popular estimate of Abelard—Self- ishness and ingratitude of his personal character . . .	261		
His merits as an independent			

PAGE	PAGE
tion than in method—His intellectual bias easily inferred from his theological works—Reasons which impelled Abelard to theology . . . 269	—Applies dialectic to its consideration—His latitudinarian construction of it—Illustrations of the Trinity in nature and heathen writers . . . 276
Character of the 'Sic et Non'—Proposes to apply dialectic to theological dogmas . . . 270	Abelard, like other Christian Fathers, believes in revelation prior to Christianity—Abelard apologises in book ii. of his Introduction for his Gentile sympathies—He knows little of heathen authors at first hand . . . 277
The remarkable prologue to the 'Sic et Non' . . . 270-1	Abelard, the apostle of knowledge as Bernard is the champion of obscurantism—He believes in the divine character of all knowledge—Recognizes that science is illimitable—Divine grace meted out in proportion to general knowledge . . . 278
May be taken as a defence of Skepticism and Free-thought—Men must be satisfied with opinion instead of truth—To enable them to select the best opinion he furnishes them with his catena of authorities . . . 271	Abelard's antagonism to the obscurantism of his time—Abelard's theory of belief opposed to that of the Church—Personal conscience must decide on revelation—Effects of this position on ecclesiasticism . . . 279
Conflicting opinions even in inspired writers should not surprise us—No man can be held guilty of falsehood who wishes to tell the truth—Perpetual questioning the first key of wisdom—By doubt we attain inquiry, and by inquiry, truth . . . 272	Similarity of Abelard's position to that of Greek Skeptics—Pleads for the autonomy of the verifying faculty—Thinks that rationality or sense of truth should be general—The missionary work of the Church presupposes the existence of such a sense—Abelard's definition of faith objected to by St. Bernard . . . 280
Illustrations of the 'Sic et Non'—Questions determinable by heathen as well as Christian writers—The issue, or Abelard's preference, left in doubt—The general result of the work not an open denial of Christian doctrine . . . 273	He believes Christianity capable of rational defence—Allows that mysteries may exist in Christianity—But does not allow the admission to impede his own researches—The fate of the author of the 'Sic et Non' and the 'Introduction to Theology' not difficult to forecast—Antagonism between Abelard and Bernard
It makes all Church dogmas open questions—His theological standpoint like his philosophical—The 'Sic et Non' a manifesto of Free-thought—Similarity of the work to the Sokratic 'Dialogues of Search'—Resemblance in the aims of Abelard and Sokrates . . . 274	
The 'Sic et Non' not a systematic treatise—Character of his 'Introduction to Theology'—Conditions of human salvability—Abelard's definition of the Trinity . . . 275	
He thinks the doctrine ought to be made comprehensible	

	PAGE		PAGE
—Abelard's friendship with Arnold of Brescia . . .	281	nies the claims of Judicial Astrology — Abelard goes back to the origin of Christianity for truth . . .	287
He shares the hopes and energies of the men of the Renaissance — Bernard's rage against the boldness of the human intellect — Renown of Abelard — His works read in the Papal Curia — Abelard condemned by the Council of Soissons in 1120 — Abelard's invectives against his enemies — Abelard appealed to an assembly of bishops about to be convoked at Sens . . .	282	Abelard a martyr to Free-thought — His 'Apology' and its significance — He starts for Rome, is taken ill and dies . . .	288-9
Afterwards withdrew, and appealed to Rome — Result of the appeal unfavourable — His disappointment accelerated his death — The articles brought against Abelard at Sens important as indicating his opinions — Abelard's statement of the doctrine of the Trinity . . .	283	Tone of his later writings — His 'Confession of Faith' addressed to Heloise — Abelard's advice to his son . . .	280
His illustrations of the Trinity disliked by Bernard — Abelard's desire to avoid Trithemism — Abelard's statements of other doctrines — Compares the Holy Ghost to the <i>Anima Mundi</i> of Plato — Abelard's definition of faith as estimation, intellectual appreciation — Bernard compares him to the Academic Skeptics . . .	284	His fate inevitable — Comparison of Abelard to Sokrates — Abelard's confidence in the future . . .	290
Abelard's stress on God's impartiality and human freedom — His opinions on the atonement — He is inclined to limit the Divine Omnipotence . . .	285	Abelard as the bar-breaking bird. End of Abelard essay . . .	291
Abelard's idea of sin, that it lies not in the act but in the intent and volition — He denies that Adam's guilt is transmitted to his posterity — General import of Abelard's thought — Improbability of its achieving success . . .	286		
Abelard's exposure of his enemies — He ridicules their fictitious miracles — He de-			
		AQUINAS.	
		Relation of Aquinas to Erigena and Abelard — Illustrates the freedom which comes of eclecticism — Sketch of Aquinas's life. Born in 1227 . . .	291-2
		His premature death in 1274 — Aquinas represents at its maturity the alliance of Aristotelianism with ecclesiastical Christianity — Thus there is a dualism in his starting-point . . .	292
		Dialectic applied unreservedly to theology — This the characteristic of all the Schoolmen — Distinction between Aquinas and most other Schoolmen — Aquinas regarded as the Apostle of the Peripatetics . . .	293
		Significance of his position with respect to dogma — The 'Summa' of Aquinas, the legitimate successor of the 'Sic et Non' — Advantages of his standpoint as to Free-thought — Breadth of view of Aquinas and his master Albert — Both Albert and Aquinas inconsistent thinkers . . .	294
		Summary of Aquinas's incon-	

	PAGE
gruities—Their root—thought to be found in the dualism of his position—Naturally Aquinas is a philosopher, by education, &c., a theologian—His Peripatetic philosophy—Knowledge obtained by the senses and the reason—The natural reason limited.	295
Similarity of his thought to that of Pyrrhón—In matters of faith reason is impotent—Theological beliefs have a double method of truth.	296
Relation of reason and faith—He thinks proofs of the Trinity mischievous—Aquinas's stress on reason.	297
His perpetual equilibration of reason and faith—Causes of his dual thought; reason seems to have the strongest hold on his affections—Aquinas's equipoising instinct shown by an examination of his chief philosophical theories.	298
1. Controversy between realists and nominalists—His definition of universals; they exist in a twofold manner—He seems a realist, nominalist, and conceptualist.	299
All the opinions current on the subject may be found in his works—He does not recognize their discrepancy—Considers philosophical questions from theological standpoints—2. Aquinas's theory of individuation.	300
Speculation on this topic in the Schools—Views of Plato and Aristotle—Aquinas decides on the side of Aristotle—3. Aquinas on the indivisibility of the intellect maintained by Averroes.	301
His semi-materialistic definition of the soul—4. Aquinas's doctrine of diversity of souls.	302
Inclines to individualism among all higher intelligences	

	PAGE
—II. Aquinas's theology—Aquinas's theoretical position as to dogmas of the Church—But here his Aristotelianism is as strongly marked as in his theology.	303
His ingenuousness in the statement of controverted points—Summary of reasons against the possibility of miracles.	304
His candour explained by his unlimited confidence in reason—Possible effect of this equilibration on those dieted on it—Its relation to the equipoise of the Greek Skeptics.	305
It did not induce permanent suspense in Aquinas—The volition brought in by theologians to determine the issue—Aquinas's doctrine of God—He adopts Aristotle's method of inferring the mover from the fact of motion—Though we know that God is, we cannot tell what He is.	306
As to Divine nature, attributes, &c., Aquinas's method is negative—Aquinas's occasional leanings towards Pantheism—When he comes to Revelation Aquinas's negation changes to affirmation—Postulates a superior reason to know God fully.	307
Dualism of Aquinas—Aquinas's doctrine of providence. Its inconsequent ratiocination—He asserts the diversity of creation to be in harmony with the Divine attributes—Maintains a form of the theory of evolution.	308
Does not regard miracles as absolutely necessary to Revelation—Relation of Christianity to prior condition of the world—Aquinas shares Patristic belief in a prior Revelation—The Christian Revelation not meant to con-	

	PAGE		PAGE
tradict the former, but to enhance it	309	Aquinas admits his cosmopolitan sympathies and his delight in multifariousness—This shown by his definition of God, Intelligences, Dialectic, Nature, Scripture, Language, Truth—Difficult to bring all his ratiocinations into a consistent scheme	316
Aquinas occupies the same position of equipoise on all doctrines, with a final preponderance in favour of dogma—He must needs reason on even the most mysterious and ineffable dogmas—III. Aquinas's ethical teaching	310	Aquinas more a philosopher than a theologian—An asserter of the maxim of Protagoras—Aquinas's sympathy with intellectual suspense—His definition of heresy	317
Aquinas's morality more Aristotelian than ecclesiastical—Makes the will identical with the appetite; on the subject of Free-will is almost a Pelagian—Proclaims virtue a mean between two extremes—Equipoises the conditions of ethical problems	311	Charitable tone of his 'Contra Gentiles'—Remarkable fact that he nowhere tries to refute Skepticism as such—Discrimination of the judicial Skepticism of Aquinas	318
Relation of Aristotle's ethics to the ordinary ethical teaching of the Church—The former nearer to the moral teaching of Christ than the latter—Aquinas's dualism in ethics; divides virtue into natural and infused—Affirms <i>all</i> virtue to be in its origin Divine	312	The Aquinas balances have one scale loaded—Reconciliation of his final dogma with his Free-thought—His controversial freedom might be the outcome of his dogmatic fixity	319
His countless ethical distinctions in the 'Summa'—How far this prepared the way for the ethical Skepticism of the Jesuits—His own ethical casuistry and that of the Jesuits derived from the same causes—IV. Although Aquinas's teachings are inconsistent, his method is always the same—His equipoise and eclecticism manifested in his exegesis	313	Bishop Huet another example of the same characteristic—His method related to double truth—Common characteristic of his own method and his masters	320
Illustrations of his uncertainty in Biblical interpretation—the same phenomenon marks his comments on Aristotle—Summary view of Aquinas's intellectual position	314	The value of eclecticism in an age of dogma—Connection of free discussion with the feudal idea of material prowess—Aquinas believed Christianity to be impregnable—His sympathies with heathen writers—Aquinas the best representative of the Catholicity of Romanism	321
His diversified environment and its effect on himself—Aquinas's 'Summa' has been compared to a Gothic cathedral, but it lacks uniformity	315	His inconsistencies are numerous and striking—He has been stigmatized as a heretic, and canonized as a saint—Various opinions relating to Aquinas	322
		Aquinas, the favourite divine of Skeptics—Aquinas the balancing bird. Close of the essay—Dr. Trevor asks	

which of his three thinkers achieved the greatest amount of freedom . . .	323
Miss <i>Leycester</i> decides in favour of <i>Erigena</i> —Mr. <i>Harrington</i> decides for <i>Abelard</i> — <i>Abelard</i> , the <i>Prometheus Vinc-tus</i> of the schools—Mr. <i>Arundel</i> decides for <i>Aquinas</i> , the broad Churchman of the Middle Ages . . .	324
He insists on the advantage of an eclectic conception of truth—These denied by Mr. <i>Harrington</i> . . .	325
<i>Abelard</i> and <i>Aquinas</i> related as <i>Lessing</i> to <i>Goethe</i> —Miss <i>Leycester</i> dwells on the advantages of the idealistic faculty . . .	326
Effects of scholastic refinements as a mental gymnastic—Remark of <i>Talleyrand</i> as to mediæval diplomatists—Mr. <i>Harrington</i> rejoins that this diplomacy was often unscrupulous . . .	327
The apparatus of the School-men for intellectual sleight-of-hand—Quotation from <i>Werenfelsius</i> on scholastic distinctions . . .	329
<i>Rabelais's</i> description of the furred law-cats—Influence of Scholasticism on theology . . .	

exaggerated by Bp. <i>Hampden</i> —Mr. <i>Harrington</i> calls in question the definition of <i>Aquinas</i> as an eclectic . . .	330
Dr. <i>Trevor</i> defends it—Mr. <i>Arundel</i> on the influences of dialectic in theology . . .	331
Dialectical process in Romanist dogma development—Allocutions of <i>Leo XIII.</i> in favour of <i>Aquinas</i> — <i>Aquinas</i> as the ultimate authority of the Church would be fatal to its infallibility— <i>Aquinas's</i> science, its merits and demerits . . .	332-3
His probable scientific views if he had lived in the present day—Mr. <i>Arundel's</i> idea that all large views of truth are composite—Illustrates his opinion—Truth likened to a landscape of <i>Rubens</i> . . .	333
Dr. <i>Trevor</i> doubts the applicability of the definition to <i>Aquinas</i> —Mr. <i>Arundel</i> reconciles his approval of eclecticism with his appreciation of simplicity in religious belief . . .	334
He explains his distrust of idealism—He thinks that individualism can only be partial and circumscribed . . .	335
Conclusion of after discussion . . .	336

EVENING X.

WILLIAM OF OCKAM.

Claims of William of Ockam for consideration . . .	339
Definition of the realists as Pantheists, and of the nominalists as Skeptics—This definition true if limited to tendencies—English philosophy in general nominalistic . . .	340
Philosophy in relation to races and countries—Doubtful whether reliable conclusions can be asserted on the point . . .	341
Definition of realists and nominalists given to Mrs. Har-	

ington—What definition Ockam would have given—An inversion of the names and meanings since the time of Ockam—Decay of idealism in the progress of philosophy . . .	342
Mr. <i>Harrington</i> thinks idealism an invariable quantity—Nominalism likened to a knight of chivalry—Idealism and nominalism represented by <i>Don Quixote</i> and <i>Sancho Panza</i> . . .	343
Miss <i>Leycester</i> approves of the	

	PAGE		PAGE
idea—Thinks realism superior to nominalism—The fancies of chivalry contemporaneous with the fictions of realists	344	Realistic bias of the Church led to her dislike of dialectic and co-operated in inducing mysticism—Nominalism in the Church long prior to Roscelin—Erigena, Raban Maur, Heiric of Rheims, partly nominalists	353
Effect of nominalism in modern philosophy wholesome—Implications of nominalism—Nominalists as a rule were opposers of the Papal power	345	Nominalistic methods not uncommon among realists—Hauréau's remark on Peter Lombard and Aquinas—William of Ockam succeeded to a wealthy heritage of Free-thought—Life of William of Ockam. Little known of his early years	354, &c.
The solvent properties of nominalism have been recognised by Rome—Use of nominalism as a protest against the tyranny of words—Obscuring effect of words recognised by Ockam	346	Entered Merton College—Proceeded from Oxford to Paris—Becomes disciple of Duns Scotus, an extreme realist—Ockam himself becomes a teacher; his success—Becomes champion of the king against Boniface VIII.—His antagonism towards John XXII.	355
Dr. Trevor complains of verbal dictation in theology and science—Points out how Ockam met these abuses—Pleads for the individualistic scope of language—Mr. Harrington demurs to this excessive individualism	347	His 'Epistola Defensoria' a defence of the liberty of the press—Papal proceedings against Ockam—Takes the part of Louis of Bavaria and the antipope—Is imprisoned at Avignon, but escapes	356
Mr. Arundel also complains of the Skeptical craving for impossible conditions of knowledge—acquirement—He quotes the conclusion of Don Quixote as against such dreamers	348	Accompanies Louis of Bavaria in his Italian campaign—Returns with him to Munich, where he remains till his death—His writings and their influence	357
Miss Leycester thinks the moral of Don Quixote may be Skeptical—In this Dr. Trevor concurs. Close of first discussion—Why Skepticism is closely related to words—To children, &c., words seem to imply existence	349	His associates among the Franciscans	358
Relation of language to knowledge among the Greeks—Sokrates on language—The 'Kratylos' of Plato; Realism of Plato—Aristotle on language—Semi-nominalist	350	He wishes to return to Paris—Different dates assigned to his death	359
Nominalism of Cynics, Megarics, and Stoics—Employment of verbal analysis by Greek Skeptics	351	Ockam's works the speculative counterpart of his life—Ridicules in his dialogues the dread of novelty—The dialectic, which is the basis both of his philosophy and theology—The reshaping of Aristotelian logic by Arabic and Byzantine thinkers	360
Early tendencies of Christianity realistic—Relation of dogma growth to realism	352		

	PAGE
Character of the Byzantine logic akin to Greek Dialectic—Impatience of Dr. Prantl at Ockam's dialectical quibbling—Ockam's doctrine begins with his theory of knowledge . . .	361
He does not identify himself with a sensualist empirism—Chief faculty of reliable knowledge is the <i>vis intuitiva</i> —Signification and function of this faculty—2nd faculty is the <i>vis abstractiva</i> —its functions—Mutual relation of these two faculties . . .	362
Importance of this classification with respect to realists—Realistic employment of <i>sensible and intelligible species</i> —These disowned by Ockam—Ockam's aim to simplify knowledge processes . . .	363
Ockam's theory of language—He distinguishes three stages of names—1. Thought stage; 2. Oral stage; 3. Written stage—His stress on the first stage—Persistency of impressions in the mind—The Byzantine theory of supposition . . .	364
His excessive conceptualism—Supposition of the external world under mental impressions—Ockam conceded mental images as such—Ockam finds truth only in unvocal concepts . . .	365
Truth a relation of mental propositions—Spoken language a source of error—Probable reasons for this conception—The theory a proof and result of his Skepticism . . .	366
Relation in this respect of Ockam to Greek Skeptics—Ockam classifies spoken words into those of first and second intention—The difference harmonized with the division of faculties into Intuitive and Abstract—Names of second intention commonly called Universals . . .	367

	PAGE
Power of Universals in the Middle Ages—Ockam reversed the usual order of direct cognition—Realistic fictions, how originated—Ockam's opposition to them . . .	368
Illustration of Ockam's position—Reduction of Universals to their component singulars—Relation of this method of Ockam to that of Greek Skeptics . . .	369
Ockam's analysis common to most of the great thinkers of Europe—Its effect in the dissolution of mediæval ideas . . .	370
Close relations of realism and Pantheism—Ockam affirmed the subordination of language to man—Similarly he established the rights of the individual thinker as against the dogma of the Church—He carried his analysis into politics . . .	371
Ockam's warfare with Universals had very large implications—Ockam, a mediæval Darwin, tries to discover 'the Origin of Species' . . .	372
Ockam's definition of an Universal—Of some things their existence consists in their cognition—Universals of every kind, fictitious and unreal—Universals if granted would be valueless for real knowledge . . .	373
The external object becomes a concept by supposition—Ockam's general conclusions—His distrust of all language. Relation of Ockam to Hobbes . . .	374
All judgments expressed in language are imperfect—Ockam maintains that all knowledge is concerned with singulars—Nature, &c. knows nothing of the fictitious entities of the realists . . .	375
In relation to practical needs, Ockam agrees that knowledge must advance beyond	

	PAGE		PAGE
idea—Thinks realism superior to nominalism—The fancies of chivalry contemporaneous with the fictions of realists	344	Realistic bias of the Church led to her dislike of dialectic and co-operated in inducing mysticism—Nominalism in the Church long prior to Roscelin—Erigena, Raban Maur, Heiric of Rheims, partly nominalists	353
Effect of nominalism in modern philosophy wholesome—Implications of nominalism—Nominalists as a rule were opposers of the Papal power	345	Nominalistic methods not uncommon among realists—Hauréau's remark on Peter Lombard and Aquinas—William of Ockam succeeded to a wealthy heritage of Free-thought—Life of William of Ockam. Little known of his early years	354, &c.
The solvent properties of nominalism have been recognised by Rome—Use of nominalism as a protest against the tyranny of words—Obscuring effect of words recognised by Ockam	346	Entered Merton College—Proceeded from Oxford to Paris—Becomes disciple of Duns Scotus, an extreme realist—Ockam himself becomes a teacher; his success—Becomes champion of the king against Boniface VIII.—His antagonism towards John XXII.	355
Dr. Trevor complains of verbal dictation in theology and science—Points out how Ockam met these abuses—Pleads for the individualistic scope of language—Mr. Harrington demurs to this excessive individualism	347	His 'Epistola Defensoria' a defence of the liberty of the press—Papal proceedings against Ockam—Takes the part of Louis of Bavaria and the antipope—Is imprisoned at Arignon, but escapes	356
Mr. Arundel also complains of the Skeptical craving for impossible conditions of knowledge—acquirement—He quotes the conclusion of Don Quixote as against such dreamers	348	Accompanies Louis of Bavaria in his Italian campaign—Returns with him to Munich, where he remains till his death—His writings and their influence	357
Miss Leycester thinks the moral of Don Quixote may be Skeptical—In this Dr. Trevor concurs. Close of first discussion—Why Skepticism is closely related to words—To children, &c., words seem to imply existence	349	His associates among the Franciscans	358
Relation of language to knowledge among the Greeks—Sokrates on language—The 'Kratylos' of Plato; Realism of Plato—Aristotle on language—Semi-nominalist	350	He wishes to return to Paris—Different dates assigned to his death	359
Nominalism of Cynics, Megarics, and Stoics—Employment of verbal analysis by Greek Skeptics	351	Ockam's works the speculative counterpart of his life—Ridicules in his dialogues the dread of novelty—The dialectic, which is the basis both of his philosophy and theology—The reshaping of Aristotelian logic by Arabic and Byzantine thinkers	360
Early tendencies of Christianity realistic—Relation of dogma growth to realism	352		

	PAGE		PAGE
Character of the Byzantine logic akin to Greek Eristic—Impatience of Dr. Prantl at Ockam's dialectical quibbling—Ockam's doctrine begins with his theory of knowledge	361	Power of Universals in the Middle Ages—Ockam reversed the usual order of direct cognition—Realistic fictions, how originated—Ockam's opposition to them	368
He does not identify himself with a sensualist empirism—Chief faculty of reliable knowledge is the <i>vis intuitiva</i> —Signification and function of this faculty—2nd faculty is the <i>vis abstractiva</i> —its functions—Mutual relation of these two faculties	362	Illustration of Ockam's position—Reduction of Universals to their component singulars—Relation of this method of Ockam to that of Greek Skeptics	369
Importance of this classification with respect to realists—Realistic employment of <i>sensible and intelligible species</i> —These disowned by Ockam—Ockam's aim to simplify knowledge processes	363	Ockam's analysis common to most of the great thinkers of Europe—Its effect in the dissolution of mediæval ideas	370
Ockam's theory of language—He distinguishes three stages of names—1. Thought stage; 2. Oral stage; 3. Written stage—His stress on the first stage—Persistency of impressions in the mind—The Byzantine theory of supposition	364	Close relations of realism and Pantheism—Ockam affirmed the subordination of language to man—Similarly he established the rights of the individual thinker as against the dogma of the Church—He carried his analysis into politics	371
His excessive conceptualism—Supposition of the external world under mental impressions—Ockam conceded mental images as such—Ockam finds truth only in unvocal concepts	365	Ockam's warfare with Universals had very large implications—Ockam, a mediæval Darwin, tries to discover 'the Origin of Species'	372
Truth a relation of mental propositions—Spoken language a source of error—Probable reasons for this conception—The theory a proof and result of his Skepticism	366	Ockam's definition of an Universal—Of some things their existence consists in their cognition—Universals of every kind, fictitious and unreal—Universals if granted would be valueless for real knowledge	373
Relation in this respect of Ockam to Greek Skeptics—Ockam classifies spoken words into those of first and second intention—The difference harmonized with the division of faculties into Intuitive and Abstract—Names of second intention commonly called Universals	367	The external object becomes a concept by supposition—Ockam's general conclusions—His distrust of all language. Relation of Ockam to Hobbes	374
		All judgments expressed in language are imperfect—Ockam maintains that all knowledge is concerned with singulars—Nature, &c. knows nothing of the fictitious entities of the realists	375
		In relation to practical needs, Ockam agrees that knowledge must advance beyond	

	PAGE		PAGE
singular facts—But this does not do away with fictitious character of Universals . . .	376	Christian Church superior to that of the Reformers . . .	382
Ockam seems to deny even to Deity a knowledge other than of singulars—Summary of Ockam's philosophy . . .	377	Ockam realizes the free aspects of Christianity—His stress upon reason as the basis and sanction of Christianity—Ockam's four modes of truth-discovery . . .	383
Ockam discriminates between personal and general knowledge—Ockam's certainty exclusively conceptual—Difference between other Skeptics and Ockam—No difference between man as a Universal and as a Singular—Further similarities of his thought to that of Greek Skeptics . . .	378	He advocates innovation when demanded by utility—The mind rather than the words of Christ should be deferred to . . .	384
Effect of Ockam's philosophy probably surpassed by that of his theology—Consequences of his anti-papal writings both within and without the Church—He approached theology from the same standpoint as philosophy—Analogous conception of Papacy and realism—He employs same method and terms in philosophy as in theology . . .	379	Ockam's conception of the Church—The inherent indifference of all forms of church-government—Regards Christ as the sole Head of the Church . . .	385
Ockam's 'Commentary on the Sentences,' &c., formed on the model of Abelard's 'Sic et Non'—Ockam's high estimate of this method of truth-search—His ultimate conclusions are well attested . . .	380	He denies every basis of ecclesiastical authority—His opinions on episcopal government, apostolical succession, &c.—Ockam subordinates the Church to the empire . . .	386
Recognition of the purport of his writings by the Church of Rome—Ockam advocates a return to Christ on the part of the Church . . .	381	Ockham's 'Dialogus' a revolutionary work—Ockam's views on the Sacraments. Their Divine character—His opinions seem to oscillate between Skepticism and mysticism . . .	387
Distinction made by Ockam and his friends between the life of Christ before and after His Resurrection—Application of this distinction to the overgrown power and dogma of Rome—Harmony of view between the 'Spiritual Franciscans' and the German mystics—Ockam's 'Church before the Passion'—Ockam's conception of the		Refuses to believe in the <i>ex opere operato</i> theory—Thinks the sacramental elements in themselves indifferent—The soul of Christ present under the form of the symbolical body of Christ—More ecclesiastical tone of his work 'On the Sacrament of the Altar' . . .	388
		His nominalism in conflict with his mysticism—Ockam's opinions on Holy Scripture—Maintains the Bible to be the voice of the Church . . .	389
		Assigns a greater authority to words of Christ than to other parts of Scripture—Makes all truth to be Divine. His <i>ultima ratio</i> of truth—Ockam's treatment of Church dogmas . . .	390

	PAGE		PAGE
His doctrine of God. Its Skeptical character—Is inclined to put it on the same basis as universals—Does not object to an infinite regress in causation	391	that it consists of wilful falsehood—His manifold distinctions and refinements on the subject	398
No reason in nature or the laws of mind why the First Cause should be one—Our ignorance of the Being of God extends also to His attributes—Modes in which God's attributes are conceived—The only knowledge man can have of God is negative	392	No sincere heretic could be convicted under his ruling—His opinion on giving up heretics to be punished by the secular arm—Inconsistency in his treatment of John XXII. as a heretic—The recondite nature of the Pope's heresy	399
God may be predicated as pure Being (<i>Ens</i>)—Ockam on the second and third persons of the Trinity—Yields a reluctant assent to the doctrine of the Incarnation	393	Constructive aspects of Ockam's teaching—His definition of faith as acquired and infused—Supernatural character of the latter	400
All articles of faith are indemonstrable—That the soul is immaterial and immortal cannot be proved—Ockam recognized the close affinities of realism and ecclesiastical dogma	394	This furnishes the key to his mysticism—Ockam not a mystic in the sense of Bernard or Eckhart—His mysticism more intellectual than emotional—He regards sensuous and supersensuous cognitions as possessing the same basis	401
His greatest speculative extravagances occur in the 'Quodlibeta' and 'Centilogium'—Christ might have taken the nature of an inferior animal—Other <i>reductiones ad absurdum</i> of theological dogmas—Possible reasons for writing the 'Centilogium'	395	Mysticism as well as Skepticism a movement of Free-thought—According to Ockam all higher knowledge must be intuitive—St. Paul an illustration of supernatural illumination—Ockam holds two kinds of knowledge, earthly and heavenly	402
Ockam professed fully the doctrine of Twofold Truth—Proofs of this contained in his writings	396	These different in degree rather than in kind—Doubtful how far Ockam regarded himself as the object of supersensuous enlightenment—Summary of Ockam—Homogeneity of his character 403, &c.	
He does not regard his writings as dogmatic—His high estimate of reasoning by contradictions—Shares the confidence of Sokrates, &c., in the ultimate supremacy of truth—He does not allow his dualism to involve the disruption of consciousness—Ockam's treatment of heresy marked by his Free-thought tendencies	397	Ockam regards all dogmas, authorities, &c., as objects of criticism—Ockam's Skepticism based on his idealism—His criticism of words, &c., demolished the fabric of Mediæval Realism 404	
His ultimate definition of it is		His ethical teaching—Held that virtue was not innate but acquired	405
		His theory of causation—He	

	PAGE		PAGE
makes its final determination indemonstrable—Causation entirely denied by his disciples—Influence of Ockam's writings . . .	406, &c.	iconoclasm—She finds universals in modern and scientific thought . . .	412
Repeatedly forbidden in the University of Paris—Ockamist errors enumerated—Tenets of Nicolas of Autricuria . . .	407	Dr. Trevor distinguishes between universals <i>ante</i> and <i>post rem</i> —Miss Leycester thinks the distinction trivial . . .	413
Spread of nominalism—Ockam's anticipations of the effects of his writings—Influence of nominalism (1) on general philosophy . . .	408	Miss Leycester's opinion on evolution, and the progress of philosophy . . .	414
Influence of nominalism (2) on English philosophy—Influence of nominalism (3) on Latin mysticism—Influence of nominalism (4) on Protestantism . . .	409	How Ockam would have treated our current abstractions—Mr. Harrington thinks he required an impossible standard of verbal perfection . . .	415
Ockam's influence on Luther . . .	410	Vagueness a natural concomitant of language—The virulence of the antagonism between realists and nominalists . . .	416
Conclusion of essay. Beginning of discussion—In what sense Ockam was a nominalist—Ockam more like Bishop Berkeley than Hobbes . . .	411	Dr. Trevor gives some account of these feuds . . .	417
Dr. Trevor regards Bishop Berkeley a Skeptic—Miss Leycester regrets Ockam's		Warfare between the eagles and the peacocks—Opinions of Ockam and his brother Franciscans on property . . .	418
		Close of discussion. Prospective arrangements . . .	419

EVENING XI.

RAYMUND OF SABIEUDE.

Different designations of Raymund . . .	423	Raymund's thesis that nature was a Divine Revelation . . .	423
Mr. Harrington relates what is known of him—He wrote first treatise on 'Natural Theology' . . .	424	Raymund's manifestation of the qualities that mark the Renaissance; 'Indoor' and 'Outdoor Freedom'—Excessive liberty of the Renaissance . . .	429
Montaigne's account of Raymund—Montaigne's translation of his 'Natural Theology' . . .	425	Raymund's probable indebtedness to Arab culture—Arab precursors of the 'Natural Theology' . . .	430
Raymund terms his book 'Liber creaturarum'; Meaning of the phrase—Dr. Trevor attributes Raymund's freedom of thought to his medical training . . .	426	Affinity of the doctrine of Averroes with Raymund's work—Miss Leycester and Dr. Trevor on nature-teaching . . .	431
Raymund's powerful influence on Montaigne—Character of Montaigne's translation of his work . . .	427	Mr. Arundel thinks nature-teaching dangerous—Place	

	PAGE		PAGE
of nature among Arab mystics	432	Roger Bacon inferior to Ray-	
Traces in the 'Natural Theology' of Raymund's medical training	433	mund in his recognition of nature — The Preface to Raymund's 'Natural Theology'—1. Raymund declares the all-sufficiency of nature-teaching	441, &c.
The blending of medical with theological learning in the Middle Ages—Classified stages of intellectual progress can only be partially true—End of first discussion. Beginning of paper—Raymund introduces us to a new phase of Free-thought—Enumeration of the solvent forces that attacked dogma	434	2. Nature teaches man to understand the sacred doctors and Scripture—3. Nature needs no other science or art—4. Nature argues from experience, and therefore infallibly	442
Nature more antagonistic to theology than other influences—Sketch of mutual relation of Christianity and nature prior to the time of Raymund—Opinions of Christ and St. Paul as to nature-teaching	435	5. Nature leads up to God. Does not allege any authority—6. Of God's two books the first is nature—7. Why the Book of Scripture was given to man—8. The Book of Nature cannot be falsified, &c., like that of Scripture	443
Opposition of the Latin Church to any stress on nature—A vilification of nature the direct outcome of some Church dogmas—Effects of the growth of sacerdotalism and asceticism	436	Nature and Scripture do not contradict each other—Requisites for the true interpretation of nature—9. Knowledge of nature open to every man	444
Different tone of the Greek Fathers—St. Basil's sensibility to natural phenomena	437	Importance of Raymund's Preface — Its antagonism to Scholasticism. Anticipation of modern science	445
Augustine's half-hearted appreciation of nature	438	Presents characteristics of modern French, English, and German speculation—Peculiarity of Raymund's view is that nature is superior to Scripture	446
Nature in the dark ages a synonym for magic alchymy, &c. — How ecclesiasticism contributed to the growth of these superstitions—With revival of learning commenced a revival of interest in nature—The two chief impulses that contributed to this were:—1. The natural researches of Arabs; 2. The influence of the study of Aristotle	439	Reasons assigned by Raymund for the superiority of nature — Full recognition of claims of nature on mankind	447
Albertus Magnus, the Schoolman who mostly contributed to nature-studies—Aquinas and Roger Bacon subordinate contributors	440	All revelation-religions hostile to nature—Promise of the Preface belied by the work—Truth manifestation not instantaneous	448
		Sources whence Raymund may have obtained the materials for his work—The book begins with the different orders of existing beings—From these he infers the existence of deity	449

	PAGE		PAGE
Pantheism of Raymund. His realism—Affirms the existence of universals outside the mind, but is not consistent on the point—Raymund's exposition of the doctrine of the Trinity	450	greater than commonly thought	459
His interpretation of the Incarnation Hegelian—No authorities are alleged by Raymund i.e. <i>ab extra</i> —His principles rationalistic and utilitarian—What is best for man he esteems a final test of truth	451	Raymund's position among Free-thinkers—Close of paper. Beginning of after-discussion	460
Theological dogmas to be credited so far as they are humanly useful—Raymund's optimism. Its excess—Crowning glory of man is his free-will	452	Raymund's unclassical style—Mr. Arundel demurs to his classification as a Skeptic—Incongruity between the Preface and the rest of the book	461
Raymund's mystical tendencies—His glowing description of love and its excellences—Curious ratiocination as to love of God and self-love	453	Mr. Harrington thinks the former the best representation of Raymund's genuine views—Failure of other builders and architects to carry out their first plans—Raymund compared with Descartes	462
Self-love is evil; diffusive love is good—The whole universe is bound together by love	454	Relation of nature to Christianity—The two books must still be preserved, but Mr. Harrington thinks their testimony should be taken independently	463
Raymund's view of sin and its punishment—Torments of the soul are self-evolved—Creation signifies to man what is God's will	455	Science no more than theology should be allowed to tyrannise over the intellect—Miss Leycester contends for similar methods in science and theology	464
How the attributes of God are originated—Raymund's opinion of Holy Scripture—God's words to be interpreted by His works—When he arrives to Scriptural authority for ecclesiastical dogma Raymund's stress on nature diminishes	456	Mr. Arundel on the narrowing effect of exclusive devotion to Science—Raymund's insistence on reason and conscience—Influence of Raymund on Montaigne and his successors	465
Reasons why written Revelation superior to works of creation—His final testimony as to superiority of the Bible—Raymund's account of the Fall of man	457	Montaigne's excessive naturalism—Dr. Trevor compares Raymund's two books to two well buckets—Mr. Arundel objects to the illustration, thinks the two books may be taken as the main principles of the religious Reformation and the secular Renaissance	466
He does not quote or allude to any authority for Church dogma—His curious reasonings as to the Sacraments, &c.	458	Raymund not an open advocate of Twofold Truth—Comparison of Raymund with Bishop Butler, &c.	467
Summary of the subject—Influence of Raymund's work		His use for evidence-writers. Close of discussion	468

EVENING XII.

CORNELIUS AGRIPPA.

	PAGE		PAGE
'Opera Omnia' of Agrippa—		posed in 1510 his book on	
Morley's 'Life;' its value .	471	occult science—His early	
Agrippa's 'Life,' a Romance or		persecution by the monks—	
a Philosopher's Tragedy—		Goes with an embassy to	
Popular prejudices on		London—Becomes acquaint-	
Agrippa—How caused .	472	ed with Dean Colet .	480
Agrippa, Casaubon, &c., like		Shares the aspirations of Colet	
ancient Greek philosophers		with other Church reformers	
—Mr. Arundel complains		—Agrippa a curious combi-	
of the mendicant habits of		nation of knight and scholar	
the former—But this ex-		—Takes part in the Council	
plained by the position and		of Pisa and is excommuni-	
circumstances of scholars at		cated by Pope Julius II.—	
the time .	473	Duke of Savoy becomes his	
Agrippa's sturdy independence		patron—Agrippa becomes	
—Agrippa's relation to		advocate to the free town of	
Faust explained .	474	Metz—Here he again collides	
Agrippa's dogs, and traditions		with the monks; rescues a	
relating to them .	475	poor country-girl from the	
Dr. Trevor explains the Faust		imputation of witchcraft .	481
myths, &c., by the <i>Zeitgeist</i>		He loses his wife, but marries	
—General intellectual unrest		soon after—Accepts office	
peculiar to no epoch .	476	in France as physician to the	
Popularity of Skeptical dramas		queen-mother—Writes his	
—Mr. Arundel dissents;		work on the 'Vanity of	
thinks that mental unrest		Human Sciences'—Calami-	
is the luxury of the few—		tous termination of his life	482-3
Unstable convictions and		Legends of his miraculous	
restless lives, have they any		powers cruelly ironical—	
affinity?—Lives like Agrip-		Agrippa's intellectual career	
pa's, Bruno's, &c. would seem		divisible into two sections:	
to affirm so .	477	1. The constructive or dog-	
Montaigne an illustration of the		matic period of his life—2.	
contrary—Unstable condi-		The restless unbelieving	
tion of nervous forces might		portion .	483
generate restlessness—An-		His 'Occult Philosophy' re-	
cestors of Skeptics should		presents the former; his	
be investigated .	478	book 'On the Vanity of	
Mr. Harrington on the trans-		Human Sciences,' the latter	
mission of intellectual ten-		—Agrippa's 'Occult Philo-	
dencies—End of 1st discus-		sophy' a work on natural	
sion. Beginning of paper—		science—He propounds a	
Life of Agrippa—Born at		three-fold world. His first	
Cologne, educated in Paris		principles .	484
—Studies occult learning—		His method of reasoning like	
Lectures on Reuchlin's		the Ionic philosophers—	
'Mirific Word' .	479	He is largely indebted to the	
Writes his work on the nobi-		Neo-Platonics—His aim is	
lity of the female sex—Com-		to investigate and educe the	

	PAGE		PAGE
hidden virtues of all things		knowledge is mischievous ;	
—His stress on the <i>spiritus mundi</i>	485	His first instance being	
These speculations befitting		Adam—Agrippa's method	
the time—Aspect which the		—Investigates the sciences	
loadstone must have pre-		one by one	496
sented to thinkers of Agrip-		His examination of grammar,	
pa's time—Recognition of a		poetry, history, rhetoric—	
mundane spirit—Explana-		His contempt for Church	
tion of the object of alchymy	486	music—Agrippa's discovery	
Separability of abstract from		of the origin of the monks'	
concrete transformed to ma-		cowl	497
terial existences—How to		His treatment of astrology,	
discover the 'occult virtues'		&c., contrasted with his	
of things	487	former belief in such sciences	
Curious recipes—Power of		—His retraction of former	
sympathies and antipathies		opinions	498
—The influence of the		Animadversions on judicial	
heavenly bodies	488	astrology, magic, the Jewish	
Agrippa's opinion on augu-		Cabbala, &c.—He points out	
ries—Notes the wonderful		the conflicting opinions of	
influence of the mind over		philosophers	499
the body	489	Doubts whether any stability	
The hidden wisdom of the		exists in moral philosophy	
Hebrew language—Agrippa		—Insists largely on the dif-	
a disciple of Pythagoras—		ferent habits and customs of	
Stress on numbers	490	various nations—Agrippa's	
The secret learning pertaining		Skepticism extends not only	
to geometry—Agrippa ex-		to human knowledge but to	
plains his doctrine of the		all human occupations	500
<i>spiritus mundi</i>	491	His opinion of courtiers, tra-	
Agrippa's 'Occult Philosophy'		ders, the nobility, &c.—De-	
represents not only his own		scribes medical science as 'a	
dogmatic system but that of		certain art of manslaughter'	
his period—Echoes of it still		—Character of his own me-	
left in popular superstitions	492	dical practice	501
How Agrippa's philosophy		His reprehension of law and its	
should be regarded—It re-		administrators—His work	
presents earnest search for		not to be regarded as the	
truth—This the common		hasty utterance of a dis-	
characteristic of the ma-		appointed man—It is marked	
gician and Skeptic—The		by Puritanism and misan-	
secret Agrippa meant his		thropy—But its chief feel-	
'Occult Philosophy' to con-		ing is intellectual unrest—	
ceal	493-4	His affinities with other	
His mystical aspiration of		Skeptics	502
union with Deity—Agrippa,		The 'De Vanitate' a compound	
if a magician, was a pro-		of the Hebrew Koheleth and	
fessor of white magic	494	the works of Sextos Em-	
Agrippa's Skepticism—Its gra-		peirikos—Agrippa not an	
dual growth—Character of		avowed Pyrrhonist—Em-	
the 'De Vanitate'	495	ployes Skepticism as a method	
Agrippa's presages as to the		like Bacon and Descartes—	
reception of his work—At-		Similar employments of	
tempts to prove that all		Skepticism in the sixteenth	
		century	503

	PAGE		PAGE
Agrippa's Protestantism undeniable—His resemblance to Luther; personal and doctrinal	504	ticism as transitory—Agrippa's last stage probably intuitive—Resemblance of his method to those of Ockam and Pomponazzi	510
Agrippa was in advance of the German Reformers—His free opinions on the Bible. Stress on the words of Christ—Agrippa's contempt for verbal niceties and dogma refinements	505	Agrippa's Protestant affinities not to be exaggerated—His own standpoint was that of a liberal Free-thinking Catholic	511
His relation to the secular movement of humanism—Comparison of Agrippa and Rabelais—Coincidences in their fate	506	The outcry which assailed his 'De Vanitate'—Superstitions of Agrippa still extant—Strength of popular superstitions is in their general currency	512
Summary of the subject. Agrippa's life a faithful picture of the sixteenth century—The Janus-like aspect of the period represented by Agrippa's two great works	507	Miss Leycester thinks the epithet 'occult' applicable to all science and philosophy—'Agnostics' and 'Positivists' both really alike—Meaning of Agrippa's title 'Occult'	513
Agrippa's Skepticism retains a saving clause—Sources of the work 'De Vanitate' doubtful—The book has inspired other Skeptical thinkers—Agrippa's character	508	Miss Leycester thinks that final causes should not be ignored as if they did not exist—The termination of every thinker's career Mr. Arundel thinks ought to be inscribed R. I. P.	514
His premature death probably involved an arrested mental development—Agrippa attains Ataraxia—Close of paper. Beginning of after-discussion—Dr. Trevor thinks that Agrippa's Skepticism was intellectual	509	Dr. Trevor dissents. Many thinkers despise rest	515
Mr. Arundel regards his Skep-		Retrospect of the work. Its interest and advantages for those who took part in it	515
		Dr. Trevor hopes to continue it with the commencement of the following winter	516