

CONTENT

- 3 Chen Xin
 *The rebirth of historiography and discourse selecting: Western thought
 and the track of Chinese historiography in the past 25 years*
- 26 Shen Han
 *The influences of British marxist historiography in China
 and some reflections*
- 49 Q. Edward Wang
 *Beyond East and West: a critical comment on the development
 of modern Chinese historiography*
- 56 Rita Costa Gomes
 *Zurara and the empire: reconsidering fifteenth-century Portuguese
 historiography*

REVIEW ESSAYS

- 90 Christian W. Simon
 *«Evolutionary history». Trends in contemporary history
 of the historiography of environment*

A FORUM ON CONTEMPORARY HISTORY

- 113 Stefan Guth
 *Between confrontation and conciliation. German-polish historiographical
 relations and the international congresses of historians in the 1930s*

CONTENT

BOOK REVIEWS

by

- 161 Annalisa Paradiso, Benedikt Stuchtey, Daniel Tollet, Edoardo Tortarolo,
Patrick Bahners.

CONTENT

«BEYOND SCIENCE IN HISTORICAL THEORY»

edited by Irmeline Veit-Brause

- 3 Irmeline Veit-Brause
New turns in the theory of history, or another effort at overcoming the «dialogue des sourds»
- 17 Constantin Fasolt
Red herrings: relativism, objectivism, and other false dilemmas
- 27 Johannes Fried
Can neuro-biology help us to understand history?
- 38 Jonathan Gorman
The truth of historical theory
- 49 Martin Stuart-Fox
History and the return to science
- 62 Pim den Boer
Vicissitudes of historiography: maid and mistress
- 73 Rolf Torstendahl
History-writing as professional production of knowledge
- 89 Daniel Fulda
Why and how 'history' depends on readerly narrativization. The cognitivist approach, its potential and its problems

CONTENT

- 100 **Jeff Malpas**
 Truth in history: some conceptions and misconceptions
- 112 **Chen Qineng**
 The «New History» in China: a contrast to the West
- 119 **Fernando Sánchez-Marcos**
 *From science-history to memory-history? The attractiveness and risks
 of a historiographical trend*
- 128 **Christopher Lloyd**
 *Beyond sciences in historical theory? Critical commentary on the
 history/science distinction*